

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Sunday, September 04, 2016 5:14 PM
To: HarborComments
Subject: Re: Clean Up Portland Harbor
Attachments: 348840061713763420.pdf



Clean Up Portland Harbor

Letter

Dr. Ms. McCarthy, The proposed cleanup of the Portland Harbor is a big win for industry and a bad deal for the public. EPA's cleanup proposal tackles just 8% of a site area that is 100% toxic. A more aggressive plan is needed to prevent even more harm to human health and the environment. On behalf of all people who rely on the river for food, recreation, employment and culture, I urge the EPA to implement a plan that: Moves quickly and sustainably reduces contaminants causing harm to Willamette and Columbia River resources. Includes ongoing monitoring and cleanup upriver and downriver from the site. Contributes to healthy fish that are safe to eat for all people. Holds polluters accountable for creating a safer Portland Harbor. These elements get us closer to the plan our communities deserve. And I deserve a clean, safe Portland Harbor. *Submitted during the comment period between June 9, 2016 to August 8, 2016 regarding the EPA's Portland Harbor Feasibility Study and Proposed Plan.

First Name (

Last Name (b) (6)

E-mail (b) (6)

Upload your own letter
(.pdf, .doc or .docx, 1
MB limit)

[my comments to the EPA--9.4.16.docx](#)

EPA—Portland Harbor Superfund Comment
Portland, Oregon

September 4, 2016

To the EPA:

With regard to the Portland Harbor Superfund clean-up, I strongly vote that the EPA produce a new plan with one goal: returning the river to its original, natural state, through a plan that ensures that the parties responsible for the contamination will pay to:

- * **stop all present and prohibit all future toxic contamination** (responsibility of EPA & the State of Oregon)
- * **assess the downriver effects** (short-, medium-, & long-term) of toxic contamination (e.g., test drinking-water wells, the Columbia River, and Multnomah Channel water & sediment quality) & plan remediation
- * **remove all toxic material to an existing proper toxin-handling facility** (the Roosevelt or Wasco Facilities)
- * **independently monitor the water and air quality** during cleanup and keep the public advised day-by-day
- * **ensure restoration of all lost habitat and of water quality**, such that fish are edible without an advisory
- * **ensure water quality such that the river is suitable for water-based sports activities** without an advisory
- * **make the polluters who caused the contamination and made the profit pay for the entire cleanup cost**
- * **extend the timeline for estimated costs** to 100 years, and require monitoring in perpetuity
- * **do not shift any cleanup expenses** from the corporations responsible for the toxic pollution to the public.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

Portland OR 97231